UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/565,299	07/12/2006	Fabrizio Donazzi	09875.0359	7099	
22852 7590 06/20/2011 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER		
LLP	ŕ	TADAYYON ESLAMI, TABASSOM			
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The amendment to the claims has not been entered as it raises new issues that require more consideration such as extruding a *non-cross linked* thermoplastic insulating layer around the conductor.

#### Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/29/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues resting step is necessary for the cables with cross linked insulating layer to degas the insulating layer and also to help the polymer to get cross-linked. However Belli teaches the insulating layer can be cross-linked or non cross-linked [page 4 8<sup>th</sup> paragraph].
- 3. The applicant also argues if the insulating layer is non cross-linked and the screen was formed longitudinally folding (as it taught by references), the resting step is necessary as it requires to cool down the cable temperature to the room temperature to avoid generating the voids. However Castellini teaches cooling down the cable after extruding without resting it to the ambient temperature and therefore the voids would not generate.
- 4. The applicant argues removing the resting step to generate a continues process is not possible for cable with non-cross linked insulating layer, and neither of the references teach the insulating layer is non- cross linked. However the amendment to the claim has not been entered and the rejection is based on cross linked insulating layer.

The applicant argues there is no evidence indicating the temperature of an extruded insulating layer is a result –effective variable and the effect of the temperature is critical. In fact the temperature of the extruded insulating layer is

Art Unit: 1712

taught by Castellani to be in ambient temperature as page 4 of the office action indicated which is in the claim range.

The applicant argues the ambient temperature as discussed in the specification is 20-25C and not 27-30C. The examiner disagrees, since the differences in temperature (5 degree centigrade) will not support the patentability of subject mater encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such temperature is critical [MPEP 2144.0511.A].

### Response to Amendment

- 5. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 11/29/10 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 20, 22, 24-38 based upon 35 U.S.C 103 rejection as set forth in the last Office action because:
- 6. The inventor argues the resting step is necessary for helicoidally winding wires or tapes around the extruded insulating layer, in which the references used to reject the claims used the other method which is longitudinally folding a circumferentially continuous metal screen around the extruded insulating layer. Furthermore Castellani teaches cooling down the temperature of the extruded layer by entering the cable in oil and water and not by resting the cable.
- 7. The applicant argues generating voids leads to breaking the cable which is a result of differences between the expansion coefficient of metal and polymer contact together, however by cooling down the cable to the ambient temperature as Castellani teaches, the generation of the voids also diminishes.

Art Unit: 1712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TABASSOM TADAYYON ESLAMI whose telephone number is (571)270-1885. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tabassom T. Tadayyon-Eslami Examiner Art Unit 1712

/Tabassom T. Tadayyon-Eslami/ Examiner, Art Unit 1712

/Timothy H Meeks/

Application/Control Number: 10/565,299 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1715

## RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE REQUESTED EXAMINING GROUP 1712

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 09875.0359-00

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. PCT/EP2003/014782 under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of:	) ) )   Group Art Unit:  1712		
Fabrizio DONAZZI et al.	Examiner: Tabassom Tadayyon Eslami		
Application No.: 10/565,299			
PCT Filed: December 18, 2003	O 5' '' N 7000		
§ 371 Date: July 12, 2006	) Confirmation No.: 7099		
For: CONTINUOUS PROCESS FOR MANUFACTURING ELECTRICAL CABLES	) Mail Stop AF ) ) )		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	)		
Sir:			

#### **AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL**

In reply to the Final Office Action mailed June 30, 2010, the period for response having been extended to November 30, 2010 by a request for a two month extension of time and fee payment filed concurrently herewith, and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.116, Applicants propose that this application be amended as follows:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims in this paper.

Remarks/Arguments follow the amendment section of this paper.

Attachment: Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 of Alberto Bareggi.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,299	DONAZZI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TABASSOM TADAYYON ESLAMI	1712	

ESLA	MI		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavi appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the fi</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory at the period for reply expires on: (1) the period for reply</li></ul>	•	in the final rejection, which	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL	SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which	the petition under 37 CER 1.1	36(a) and the appropriate	e extension fee
Later State of this entry be obtained united of a Third (a). The date of which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of d statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance w	vith 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	r to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prio         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideral</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	n for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondent NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant / monamont (i	102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20,22 and 24-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficiwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notic entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we	e all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does I See Attached.	NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S	B/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Tabassom T. Tadayyon Examiner Art Unit: 1712	-Eslami	